Social stigma in the time of coronavirus disease 2019
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The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has dramatically changed the lives of people around the globe since it appeared in Wuhan, China, at the beginning of December 2019. The burden of disease and its death toll have had an unprecedented impact on the healthcare, economic and financial systems of low-, middle- and high-income countries [1–3]. Peoples’ lives have been disrupted and negatively impacted by COVID-19-related suffering and lockdowns at community and household level.

The rigidity of lockdown measures has radically changed social interactions, with virtual meetings replacing face-to-face meetings to reduce the risk of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) transmission. Social distancing (maintaining a physical distance of, for example, ≥1 m), besides frequent hand washing, use of face masks in public and increasing ventilation in indoor spaces, is one of the most important health behaviours to reduce virus transmission from one infectious patient to others [4, 5]. While there is mounting evidence that SARS-CoV-2 is transmitted by the inhalation of airborne particles [6], there are a number of unanswered questions regarding virus transmission, including the risk of transmission from asymptomatic individuals and contact with contaminated inanimate surfaces on which SARS-CoV-2 can survive for prolonged periods [7, 8].

Insufficient knowledge and contradictory information about the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and protective measures, such as wearing face masks in public, is associated with anxiety among the population. People’s uncertainty and anxiety has led them to believe biased and vague information provided by traditional media, social media (e.g. Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, etc.) and self-proclaimed experts [9]. Misinformation about COVID-19 has rapidly spread worldwide (occasionally exceeding the speed of spread of the actual COVID-19 pandemic).

During the early days of the pandemic, the identification of infectious clusters, super spreaders (those who are responsible for infecting a large number of people) or community outbreaks caused widespread fear among the public. It was speculated that COVID-19 was as contagious as measles and was associated with a very high case fatality rate. Images broadcast on television showing military trucks transporting coffins of COVID-19 victims were reminiscent of the deadly Western Africa Ebola virus epidemic a few years earlier.

Anxiety caused by lockdowns, many unknowns around COVID-19 and the fear of being infected have given rise to stigma in local communities. A "witch hunt" hysteria developed worldwide fuelling
dichotomy between Social stigma was defined by Erving Goffman in 1963 as “an attribute which is deeply discrediting” that reduces a person “from a whole and usual person to a tainted, discounted one” [10, 11]. It creates a dichotomy between “being normal and acceptable” versus “being tainted and undesirable”. Social stigma is commonly related to race, culture, sex, intelligence and health. The conceptualisation of stigma identifies four elements that interact with each other: anticipated, perceived, experienced, and internalised stigma [12]. COVID-19 has been associated with all of these elements of social stigma. People have modified their actions because of fear of being discriminated against, for example by avoiding testing for SARS-CoV-2 (anticipated stigma); patients and their families felt judged by others (perceived stigma); infected or exposed persons were excluded, isolated and discriminated against by their household and/or community members (experienced stigma); and some patients might have felt shame and self-rejection (internalised stigma). Persons infected with SARS-CoV-2 may experience intersecting (multiple) stigmas, for example when they also belong to a marginalised ethnic group. Social stigma negatively affects social justice, as stigmatised people feel that they cannot actively participate in society. The three core elements of social justice are agency (the capacity of individuals to act independently and to make their own free choices), respect and association (the capacity to connect and participate) [13].

Social stigma, discrimination and exclusion have been described in detail in other infectious diseases (e.g. tuberculosis, HIV/AIDS) [14–17]. Stigmatising language (e.g. “tuberculosis suspect”) that has been criticised by advocates, has also been used during the current pandemic (e.g. “COVID-19 suspect”). Such judgmental terms have the power to influence attitudes and behaviours, for example by preventing patients from seeking treatment or by influencing the way in which policy-makers view and seek to address a disease.

The anxiety and concern of being discriminated against can lead to two hazardous clinical and public health consequences: delayed presentation of symptomatic patients to healthcare services (prognostic deterioration) and under-detection of infectious individuals (increased viral transmission to susceptible contacts). Delayed diagnosis has been associated with more severe disease, mainly in the elderly and vulnerable groups, while delayed notification of an infectious patient can facilitate the rapid spread of SARS-CoV-2 in the community [18].

People with greater personal resources (income, education, social support) and good mental health have been shown to have more knowledge about emerging infectious diseases, be less worried and be less likely to stigmatise [19, 20]. Education, clear and honest communication and the use of non-discriminatory language have the potential to significantly improve the knowledge, attitudes and behaviours related to COVID-19 and reduce social stigma [21]. Effective communication includes expert information about the disease (e.g. contageousness, number of diagnosed people, fatality rate, seroprevalence in the community, indicating the proportion of people who have been infected at some point in the past, etc.) and recommended infection control measures. National, regional and local healthcare services that communicate transparently and work reliably and efficiently can also alleviate fears among the community and reduce stigmatisation and social discrimination. An example of a successful initiative to counteract misconceptions, misinformation and stigma is the “Trinità health educational model” [22]. The initiative was implemented in a small Sardinian town, where, after a local COVID-19 outbreak, the mayor and the main political party decided to use an interactive educational programme based on the World Health Organization (WHO) principles of health education [23]. The local population had an opportunity to interact with an expert online and to get answers to their questions, which helped to address general and specific concerns about COVID-19.

The infodemic – characterised by an overabundance of news, mixing facts, rumours and fake news – is a key driver of social stigma in our time [24]. Conspiracy theories that COVID-19 is spread via 5G cell towers or that Bill Gates caused the epidemic to sell the world a vaccine, and false (preventive) treatments promoted on social media such as eating garlic or drinking bleach can hinder the fight against COVID-19 and can even have fatal consequences. The WHO uses their information network EPI-WIN to address the infodemic by identifying real-time evidence and misinformation and creates actionable and behavioural
change messages in response (for example, the myth buster series). Google removes misleading information about COVID-19 from its platforms and Twitter fact-checks tweets and adds a warning message to provide additional context and information if a tweet is flagged.

New and effective educational approaches are needed to counteract the damaging effects of the infodemic during COVID-19 and to increase empathy towards population groups at risk of stigmatisation [25].
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